arXiv:1503.01606v1 [math.AP] 5 Mar 2015

COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON WIENER AMALGAM SPACES

DIVYANG G. BHIMANI

Abstract. For a complex function F on $\mathbb{C}$, we study the associated composition operator $T_F(f) := F(f)$ on Wiener amalgam spaces $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have shown if T_F takes $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)(1 < p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < 2)$, then F is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$. On the other hand, we show, the converse is partially true: if we restrict the domain of the T_F to be a subclass of $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)(1 < p < \infty)$ which preserves the Fourier transform and $q = 1$. The analogous results hold in the case of modulation spaces.

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be normed spaces of functions. For a given function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$, we associate it, with the composition operator $T_F : f \mapsto F(f)$ which takes X to Y; where $F(f)$ is the composition of functions F and f. If $T_F(X) \subset X$, we say the composition operator T_F acts on X. Which functions F have the property that the composition operator T_F takes X to Y? Of course, the properties of the operator T_F strongly depends on X and Y. The aim of this paper is to take a small step toward the answer in the case of Wiener amalgam spaces; and to improve some recent results of Bhimani-Ratnakumar [4] in this direction, in the case of modulation spaces.

Inspiring from the work, concerning the Fourier transform, of Norbert Wiener [25], around in 1980 H. G. Feichtinger [9] has introduced the function spaces, which allow a separate control of the local regularity and the decay at infinity of a function, nowadays those spaces are called Wiener amalgam spaces $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$(Definition 2.1 below). And it is closely related to modulation spaces $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Definition 2.2 below).

Wiener amalgam spaces and modulation spaces have turned out to be very fruitful within pure and applied mathematics, and these spaces are nowadays present in investigations that concern problems Fourier

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 42B35, Secondary: 42B37, 47H30.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear operation, Wiener amalgam spaces, modulation spaces, composition operator.

multipliers, pseudo differential operators, Fourier integral operators, Strichartz estimates, nonlinear partial differential equations(PDEs), and so on (cf.[1, 2, 6, 7]). For instance: the unimodular Fourier multiplier operator $e^{i|D|^{\alpha}}$ is not bounded on most of the Lebsgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), (p \neq 2)$ or even Besov spaces [16]; in contrast it is bounded on $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)(1 \leq p, q \leq \infty)$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and on $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)(1 \leq p, q \leq \infty)$ for $\alpha \in [0, 2]$ (cf.[1, 2, 7]). The cases $\alpha = 1, 2$ are of particular interest because they occur in the time evolution of wave and Shrödinger equations respectively. Many mathematicians have been using these spaces as a regularity class of initial data of the Cauchy problem for nonlinear dispersive equations (cf. [23, 24, 1, 2, 22]). In particular, we mention, Cordero-Nicola [5] have used these spaces as underlying working space for nonlinear wave equation, with real entire nonlinearity.

But one of the underneath issue in the nonlinear PDEs in the realm of Wiener amalgam spaces is to determine, which is the most general nonliearity one can take, which is not yet completely clear, and therefore the problem stated in the first paragraph lies at the interface between the time-frequency analysis (Wiener amalgam spaces) and nonlinear PDEs, and hopefully the answer will serve the bridge between them.

Bhimani-Ratnakumar [4] have gained the complete understanding of the problem in the case of particular modulation space $M^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$(which coincides with Wiener amalgam space $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$) and recently announced: A composition operator T_F acts on $M^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if $F(0) = 0$ and F is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Inspiring from these considerations, and in pursuing our aim, we have obtained the necessary condition: if T_F takes $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ $(1 < p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < 2)$, then F is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$. As a consequence, there exist functions $f \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $f|f|^{\alpha}, \alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$ does not belong to $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$: at this point, we can point out, the standard method for evolving nonlinear PDEs, with the nonlinearity $f|f|^{\alpha}$, which is of great importance in application, is rule out. The proof heavily depends on periodic Wiener amalgam spaces $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, and a techniques of classical Fourier analysis. We also establish the partial converse by restricting the domain of T_F to a subclass X of $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which preserves the Fourier transform and $q = 1$ (Theorem 1.1 (2) below). Our strategy of proof relies on suitable local approximation for the members of X (Lemma 4.5 below).

Again coming into the realm of modulation spaces, recently Bhimani-Ratnakumar [4] have established: if T_F acts on $M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)(1 < p < \infty)$, then F is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$. And raised the open question for the converse. We show the same conclusion is hold under weaker hypothesis (Theorem 1.2 (1) and Remark 1.3 below) and assert the converse is true partially (Theorem 1.2 (2) below).

We state our main results:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that T_F is the composition operator associated to a complex function F on $\mathbb{C}$.*

1. *Let $1 < p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q < 2$. If T_F takes $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then F must be real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, $F(0) = 0$ if $p < \infty$.*
2. *Let $X = \{f \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) : 1 < p < \infty, \widehat{f} \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$. If F is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$ which takes origin to itself, then T_F takes X to $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that T_F is the composition operator associated to a complex function F on $\mathbb{C}$.*

1. *$1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 < q < 2$. If T_F takes $M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then F must be real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, $F(0) = 0$ if $p < \infty$.*
2. *Let $X = \{f \in M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) : 1 < p < \infty, \widehat{f} \in M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$. If F is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$ which takes origin to itself, then T_F takes X to $M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Remark 1.3. *Theorem 3.2 of Bhimani-Ratnakumar* [4] *is a particular case of Theorem 1.2 (1) as $M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a proper subclass of $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)(1 < q < 2)$.*

The sequel contains required notations and preliminary in Section 2, proof for the necessary condition of Theorems 1.1 (1) and 1.2 (1) in Section 3, proof for the sufficient condition of Theorems 1.1 (2) and 1.2 (2) in Section 4, and unanswered questions in Section 4.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

The notation $A \lesssim B$ means $A \leq cB$ for a suitable constant $c > 0$, whereas $A \asymp B$ means $c^{-1}A \leq B \leq cA$, for some $c \geq 1$. The symbol $A_1 \hookrightarrow A_2$ denotes the continuous embedding of the linear space A_1 into A_2. The mixed $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ norm is denoted by

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x,w)|^p dx \right)^{q/p} dw \right)^{1/q}, (1 \leq p, q < \infty),$$

the $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ norm is $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = \text{ess.sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|$, the $\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ norm is $\|a_n\|_{\ell^q} = \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |a_n|^q \right)^{1/q}$. The space of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, with compact support is denoted by $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Schwartz class is $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of tempered distributions is $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The Fourier transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}f(w) = \widehat{f}(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t\cdot w} dt, \ w \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.1}$$

which is an isomorphism of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto itself that extends to the tempered distributions $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by duality. The inverse Fourier transform by

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) = f^\vee(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(w)\, e^{2\pi i x\cdot w} dw, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.2}$$

and, we have

$$(\widehat{f}\ )^\vee = f = \widehat{(f^\vee)}.$$

The translation and modulation of a function f with domain $\mathbb{R}^d$ are, respectively, $T_x f(t) = f(t-x)$ and $M_w f(t) = e^{2\pi i t\cdot w} f(t)$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we put $\langle w \rangle^s = (1+|w|^2)^{s/2}$.

Definition 2.1 (Wiener amalgam spaces)**.** *For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \neq g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the weighted Wiener amalgam space $W_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the norm*

$$\|f\|_{W_s^{p,q}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{F}(f\cdot T_x g)(w)|^q \langle w \rangle^{sq} dw \right)^{p/q} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite, with usual modifications if p or $q = \infty$.

This definition is independent of the choice of the window g, in the sense that different window functions yields equivalent Wiener amalgam space norms. When $s = 0$, we simply write $W_0^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d) = W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We note that there is another characterization of $W_s^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$: let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\text{supp}\phi \subset (-1,1)^d$$

and

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \phi(w-k) = 1, \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then we have the equivalence

$$\|f\|_{W_s^{p,q}} \asymp \| \|\{\langle k \rangle^s \phi(D-k) f\|_{\ell^q} \|_{L^p},$$

where $\phi(D-k)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{f}\cdot T_k\phi)$.

Let $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a non-zero window function. The short-time Fourier transform (STFT) of a function(tempered distribution) f with respect to a window window g is

$$V_gf(x,w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t)\overline{g(t-x)}e^{-2\pi i w\cdot t}dt, \ (x,w)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d},$$

i.e., the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ applied to $fT_x\bar{g}$.

Definition 2.2 (modulation spaces)**.** *For $1\leq p,q\leq\infty$, and for given a non zero smooth rapidly decreasing function $g\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the weighted modulation space $M^{p,q}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all tempered distributions $f\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which, the following norm*

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,q}_s} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|V_gf(x,w)|^p dx\right)^{q/p}\langle w\rangle^{sq}dw\right)^{1/q}$$

is finite, with the usual modification if p or q are infinite.

This definition is independent of the choice of the window, in the sense that different window functions yields equivalent modulation space norms (cf. [14, Proposition 11.3.2 (c), p.233]). When $s=0$, we simply write $M^{p,q}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)=M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We gather some basic properties of Wiener amalgam spaces and modulation spaces which will be frequently used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $p,q,p_i,q_i\in[1,\infty]$ $(i=1,2)$.*

1. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
2. $W^{p,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow W^{p,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $M^{p,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow M^{p,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *holds for* $q_1\leq min\{p,p'\}$ *and* $q_2\geq max\{p,p'\}$ *with* $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p'}=1$.
3. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *is dense in* $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
4. *If* $q_1\leq q_2$ *and* $p_1\leq p_2$, *then* $W^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow W^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $M^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow M^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
5. $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *when* $q\leq p$ *and* $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *when* $p\leq q$.
6. *The space* $W^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)=M^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)(1\leq p<\infty)$ *is invariant under Fourier transform.*
7. *The spaces* $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *are Banach space.*
8. *The spaces* $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *is invariant under complex conjugation.*
9. *The space* $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *is a convolution algebra:* $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)*W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)\hookrightarrow W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *In particular,* $\|k*f\|_{W^{1,1}}\leq\|k\|_{L^1}\|f\|_{W^{1,1}}$.

Proof. All these statements are well-known and the interested reader may find a proof in [14, 10, 11]. In particular, proof of statements (2) and (5) can be found in [21] and [20] respectively.

We only give the arguments for the statement (6) because it provide the reader with some insight about the fundamental identity of time-frequency analysis: in fact, easy computation gives the fundamental identity

$$V_g f(x,w) = e^{-2\pi i x\cdot w} V_{\widehat{g}}\widehat{f}(w,-x);$$

but this immediately gives a proof of (6). $\square$

Proposition 2.4 (Algebra property)**.** *Let* $X = M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *or* $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$. *Then* X *is a multiplication algebra, and we have the inequality*

$$\|f\cdot g\|_X \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_X, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $f, g \in X$.

Proof. cf. [23], [1, Corollary 2.7], and [5, Lemma 2.2]. $\square$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $\widehat{\phi}(0) = 1$; and consider the family of dilations of a single function in the following sense:

$$\phi_r(x) := t^{-d}\phi(x/r), (r > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Lemma 2.5 (Approximate identity)**.** *Let* $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *with* $\widehat{\phi}(0) = 1$. *If* $f \in M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d), (1 \leq p < \infty)$, *then* $\|f*\phi_r - f\|_{M^{p,1}} \to 0$ *as* $r \to 0$.

Proof. Setting $y = rz$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f*\phi_r(t) - f(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(t-y) - f(t))\phi_r(y)dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(t-rz) - f(z))\phi(z)dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (T_{rz}f(t) - f(t))\phi(z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

Put $h_r(t) = f*\phi_r(t) - f(t)$; and take $0 \neq g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_g h_r(x,w) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h_r(t)\overline{g(t-x)}e^{-2\pi i w\cdot t}dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (V_g T_{rz}f - V_g f)(x,w)\phi(z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Minkowski's inequality for integrals, we have,

$$\|V_g h_r\|_{L^{p,1}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|V_g T_{rz} f - V_g f\|_{L^{p,1}} \cdot |\phi(z)|dz;$$

now, we claim that, $\|V_gT_{rz}f-f\|_{L^{p,1}}$ is bounded and tends to 0 as $r\to 0$ for each z; once the claim is assumed, the assertion of the lemma follows from the dominated convergence theorem. Next, we notice that,

$$\begin{aligned}(V_gT_rf)(x,w) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t-r)\overline{g(t-x)}e^{-2\pi i w\cdot t}dt\\ &= e^{-2\pi i w\cdot r}\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s)\overline{g(s-(x-r))}e^{-2\pi i w\cdot s}ds\\ &= e^{-2\pi i w\cdot r}(V_gf)(x-r,w)\\ &= e^{-2\pi i w\cdot r}T_{(r,0)}V_gf(x,w)\\ &= M_{(0,-r)}(T_{(r,0)}V_gf)(x,w),\end{aligned}$$

and the modulation operator $M_{(0,-r)}$ is isometric on $L^{p,1}$; we have,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|V_gT_rf-V_gf\|_{L^{p,1}}\\ = \; &\|M_{(0,-r)}(T_{(r,0)}V_gf)-M_{(0,-r)}(V_gf)+M_{(0,-r)}(V_gf)-V_gf\|_{L^{p,1}}\\ \leq \; &\|T_{(r,0)}(V_gf)-V_gf\|_{L^{p,1}}+\|M_{(0,-r)}(V_gf)-V_gf\|_{L^{p,1}};\end{aligned}$$

which is tends to 0 as $r\to 0$; as the first term tends to zero in view of the fact that translation is continuous in $L^{p,1}(1\leq p<\infty)$ norm and one can show second term tends to zero using dominated convergence theorem; and hence the claim follows. ☐

Lemma 2.6. *If* $f\in M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d),(1\leq p<\infty)$ *and* $\epsilon>0$. *There exists* $v\in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $\widehat{v}$ *has a compact support and* $\|f-f*v\|_{M^{p,1}}<\epsilon$.

Proof. In the previous Lemma 2.5, we choose, $\phi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\widehat{\phi}\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\widehat{\phi}(0)=1$; and the proof follows. ☐

Lemma 2.7. *If* $f\in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d),(1\leq p<\infty)$ *and* $\epsilon>0$. *There exists* $v\in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $\widehat{v}$ *has a compact support and* $\|f-f*v\|_{W^{p,1}}<\epsilon$.

Proof. By Minkowski inequality for integral, we have, $\|f\|_{W^{p,1}}\leq\|f\|_{M^{p,1}}$; and then proof follows by the previous Lemma 2.6. ☐

We refer to [14] for a classical foundation of these spaces and [24] for some recent developments in PDEs for these spaces and the references therein.

Lemma 2.8 (**The C^∞ Urysohn Lemma**). *If* $K\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ *is comapct and* U *is an open set containing* K, *there exists* $f\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $0\leq f\leq 1, f=1$ *on* K, *and supp*$(f)\subset U$. [13, p. 245].

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact and let $V_1, ..., V_n$ be open sets with $K \subset \cup_{j=1}^{n} V_j$. Then there exist open sets $W_1, W_2, ..., W_n$ with $\overline{W_j} \subset V_j$ and $K \subset \cup_{j=1}^{n} W_j$.*

Proof. For each $\epsilon > 0$ let V_j^ϵ be the set of points in V_j whose distance from $\mathbb{R}^d \backslash V_j$ is greater than ϵ. Clearly V_j^ϵ is open and $\overline{V_j^\epsilon} \subset V_j$. It follows that $K \subset \cup_1^n V_j^\epsilon$ if ϵ is sufficiently small. □

3. NECESSARY CONDITION

In this section, we prove, if the composition operator T_F takes Wiener amalgam spaces $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then, necessarily, F is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$; and also similar necessity condition for modulation spaces. We start with following:

Definition 3.1. *A complex valued function F, defined on an open set E in the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, is said to be real analytic on E, if to every point $(s_0, t_0) \in E$, there corresponds an expansion of the form*

$$F(s,t) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} \, (s - s_0)^m \, (t - t_0)^n, \quad a_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}$$

which converges absolutely for all (s,t) in some neighbourhood of (s_0, t_0). If $E = \mathbb{R}^2$ and the above series converges absolutely for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then F is called real entire.

We let $A^q(\mathbb{T}^d)$ be the class of all complex functions f on the $d-$torus whose Fourier coefficients

$$\widehat{f}(m) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(x) e^{-2\pi i m \cdot x} dx, \ (m \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$$

satisfy the condition

$$\|f\|_{A^q(\mathbb{T}^d)} := \|\widehat{f}\|_{\ell^q} < \infty.$$

We state the classical theorem of Katznelson [15, p.156], see also, [18, Theorem 6.9.2] for $A^1(\mathbb{T})$ which had proved in 1959, and later generalized by Rudin [19] in 1962 for $A^q(G)$, where G is infinite compact abelian group and $1 < q < 2$; we just rephrased it here by combining both in our context.

Theorem 3.2 (Katznelson-Rudin)**.** *Suppose that T_F is the composition operator associated to a complex function F on $\mathbb{C}$, and $1 \leq q < 2$. If T_F takes $A^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ to $A^q(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then F is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$.*

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $f \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d), 1 \le p \le \infty$ *and* ψ *a smooth function supported on* $[0,1)^d$*. Then* $\psi f \in A(\mathbb{T}^d)$ *and satisfies the inequality*

$$\|\psi f\|_{A(\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{p,1}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let ϕ is a smooth cutoff function supported on $[-1,1]^d$ such that $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \phi(w-n) = 1$. In view of Lemma 2.8, we may choose $\eta(w)$, which is smooth cutoff function supported on $[-2,2]^d$ such that $\eta(w) = 1$ on $[-1,1]^d$. Then we have $\phi(w)\eta(w) = \eta(w)$ for $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi f}(n) &= \int_{[0,1)^d} \psi(t) f(t) e^{-2\pi i n t} dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \phi(D-m) f(t) \overline{M_n\psi(t)} dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \phi(w-m) \widehat{f}(w) \overline{\widehat{M_n\psi}(w)} dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \phi(w-m) \widehat{f}(w) \overline{T_m\eta(w)\widehat{M_n\phi}(w)} dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \phi(D-m) f(w) \overline{(T_m\eta)^\vee * M_n\psi(w)} dw. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

For $m \neq n$, repeated integration by parts gives,

$$\begin{aligned} |(T_m\eta)^\vee * M_n\psi(w)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta^\vee(w-t) e^{2\pi i m(w-t)} \psi(w) e^{2\pi i n w} dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta^\vee(w-t) \psi(t) e^{2\pi i (n-m) w} dt \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\langle n-m\rangle^{d+1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\langle w-t\rangle^{d+1}} \tilde{\psi}(w) dt \right|; \end{aligned}$$

for some $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, we have,

$$\|(T_m\eta)^\vee * M_n\psi\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\langle n-m\rangle^{d+1}}, m \neq n, \tag{3.3}$$

where p' is the Hölder conjugate exponent of $p \in [1,\infty]$. In view of (3.2) , (3.3) and by two times using the Hölders inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\psi f}(n)| &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\phi(D-m) f(w)\|_{\ell^1} \|\overline{(T_m\eta)^\vee * \psi(w)}\|_{\ell^\infty} dw \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{W^{p,1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\langle n-m\rangle^{d+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, (3.1) follows. □

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that* T_F *is the composition operator associated to a complex function* F *on* $\mathbb{C}$. *If* T_F *acts on* $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d), (1 \leq p \leq \infty)$, *then* T_F *acts on* $A^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Proof. Let $f \in A(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Then $f^*(x) = f(e^{2\pi i x_1}, ..., e^{2\pi i x_d})$ is a periodic function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with absolutely convergent Fourier series

$$f^*(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(m)\, e^{2\pi i m\cdot x}.$$

Choose $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $g \equiv 1$ on $Q_d = [0,1)^d$. Then we claim that $gf^* \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Once the claim is assumed, by hypothesis, $F(gf^*) \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that if $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$, then $z = (e^{2\pi i x_1}, ..., e^{2\pi i x_d})$ for some $x = (x_1, ..., x_d) \in Q_d$, hence

$$F(f(z)) = F(f^*(x)) = F(gf^*(x)), \text{ for } x \in Q_d. \tag{3.5}$$

Now if $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d)$, then $g\phi^*$ is a compactly supported smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Also $\phi(z) = g(x)\phi^*(x)$ for every $x \in Q_d$, as per the notation above and hence

$$\phi(z)F(f)(z) = g(x)\phi^*(x)F(gf^*)(x), \tag{3.6}$$

for some $x \in Q_d$. Thus in view of Proposition 3.3, equation (3.6) and the hypothesis, we have

$$\|\phi F(f)\|_{A^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} = \|g\phi F(gf^*)\|_{A^1(\mathbb{T}^d)} \lesssim \|F(gf^*)\|_{W^{p,1}},$$

for every smooth cutoff function ϕ supported on Q_d. Now by compactness of $\mathbb{T}^d$, a partition of unity argument shows that $F(f) \in A^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

To complete the proof, we need to prove the claim. By Lemma 2.3(6), it is enough to show that $\widehat{gf^*} = \widehat{g} * \widehat{f^*} \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We put, $\mu = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_k \delta_k$; where $c_k = \widehat{f}(k)$ and δ_k is the unit Dirac mass at k. We note that, μ is a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and the total variation of μ, that is, $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(\mathbb{R}^d) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |c_k|$ is finite. We compute the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of μ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mu}(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x\cdot y} d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x\cdot y} (\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_k d\delta_k(x)) \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i x\cdot y} d\delta_k(x) \\
&= f^*(-y).
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\widehat{f^*} = \mu = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(m)\,\delta_m.$$

It follows that,

$$\widehat{g} * \widehat{f^*} = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(m)\,\widehat{g} * \delta_m = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(m)\,T_m\widehat{g}.$$

Since the translation operator T_m is an isometry on $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it follows that the above series is absolutely convergent in $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and hence $\widehat{gf^*} \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as claimed. $\square$

We define the local-in-time versions of the modulation spaces in the following way. Given an interval $I = [0,1)^d$ we let $M^{p,q}(I)$ the restriction of $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto I via

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,q}(I)} = \inf\{\|g\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)} : g = f \text{ on } I\}.$$

And we identify the periodic modulation spaces $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $M^{p,q}(I)$.

The periodic Wiener amalgam spaces $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ can be defined in an analogous manner.

Next lemma says when we localize time-frequency spaces (Wiener amalgam space $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and modulation space $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$), they coincide with the space $A^q(\mathbb{T}^d)$. For the proof we refer to [20, Theorem 2.1, p.20], see also [3].

Lemma 3.5. *For $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, we have, $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{T}^d) = W^{p,q}(\mathbb{T}^d) = A^q(\mathbb{T}^d)$.*

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that T_F is the composition operator associated to a complex function F on $\mathbb{C}$, and $1 \le p \le \infty, 1 < q < 2$. If T_F takes $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then T_F takes $A^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ to $A^q(\mathbb{T}^d)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in A^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Then $f^*(x) = f(e^{2\pi i x_1}, ..., e^{2\pi i x_d})$ is a periodic function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with absolutely convergent Fourier series

$$f^*(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \widehat{f}(m)\,e^{2\pi i m\cdot x}.$$

Choose $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that $g \equiv 1$ on $Q_d = [0,1)^d$. Then $gf^* \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Since $(f^*g)(x) = f^*(x)$ over a full period of f^*, so, in particular by Lemma 3.5, it follows that, $F(f) \in A^q(\mathbb{T}^d)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (1). If T_F takes $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then T_F takes $A^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ to $A^q(\mathbb{T}^d)$ by Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.6. Hence the analyticity follows from Theorem 3.2.

The necessity of $F(0)=0$ is obvious if $p<\infty$ and can be obtained by taking Lemma 2.3(2) into our account and testing T_F for zero function. ☐

Corollary 3.1. *There exists* $f \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $f|f|^{\alpha} \notin W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $(1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < 2)$*, for any* $\alpha \in (0,\infty) \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The nonlinear mapping $F : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : z \mapsto z|z|^{\alpha}$ is not real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$ for $\alpha \in (0,\infty) \setminus 2\mathbb{N}$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.2(1)*.* Taking the Lemma 3.5 into our account and exploiting the method of the above Theorem 1.1(1), the proof follows. ☐

4. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS

In this section, we obtain sufficient conditions: properties of F, which gives guarantees, the associated composition operator T_F takes the space $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$(or subclass of it) to the space $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We start with following sufficient condition which is easy to obtain.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that* T_F *is the composition operator associated to a complex function* F *on* $\mathbb{C}$*. If* F *is a real entire given by* $F(s,t) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} s^m t^n$ *with* $F(0)=0$*, then* T_F *acts on* $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$*. In particular, we have,*

$$\|T_F(f)\|_{W^{p,1}} \leq \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| \|f\|_{W^{p,1}}^{m+n}, (f = f_1 + i f_2).$$

Proof. Let $f \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $f_1 = \frac{f+\bar{f}}{2}$ and $f_2 = \frac{f-\bar{f}}{2i}$. Then $f_1, f_2 \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and so $f_1^m, f_2^n \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by Proposition 2.4. Since the series $\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} s^m t^n$, converges absolutely for all (s,t), the series $\sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} f_1^m f_2^n$ is converges in the norm of $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; and its sum is $F(f) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} f_1^m f_2^m$; and hence,

$$\|F(f)\|_{W^{p,1}} \leq \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} |a_{mn}| \cdot \|f_1\|_{W^{p,1}}^m \|f_2\|_{W^{p,1}}^n.$$

☐

Next we proceed to prove Theorem 1.1(2) which says under a weaker hypothesis on F, the associated composition T_F takes subclass of $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Our approach is inspired by the classical Wiener-Lévy [26, 17] sufficient condition: if F is real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^2$, then the composition operator T_F acts on $A^1(\mathbb{T})$.

First we collect some technical results which should be regarded as the tool to proving the above Theorem 1.1(2). We start with following:

Definition 4.2. *Let ϕ be a function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$, We say that ϕ belongs to $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ locally at point $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if there is a neighbourhood V of γ_0 and a function $h \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi(\gamma) = h(\gamma)$ for every $\gamma \in V$. We say that ϕ belongs to $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ locally at ∞ if there is compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and a function $h \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\phi(\gamma) = h(\gamma)$ in the complement of K.*

Lemma 4.3. *If ϕ belongs to $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d), (1 \leq p < \infty)$ locally at every point of $\mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\infty\}$, then $\phi \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. Suppose first that ϕ has a compact support K. By hypothesis it follows that, for any $\gamma \in K$, there is a neighbourhood of γ, say V_γ, and $h_\gamma \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that, $\phi(x) = h_\gamma(x)$ for all $x \in V_\gamma$. Next, we observe that, $\{V_\gamma : \gamma \in K\}$ forms an open cover of K, since K is compact, there exist open sets $V_{\gamma_1}, ..., V_{\gamma_n}$ and functions $h_1, ..., h_n \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\phi = h_i$ in V_{γ_i} and $V_{\gamma_1} \cup V_{\gamma_2} \cup ... \cup V_{\gamma_n}$ covers K, that is, $K \subset \cup_{j=1}^n V_{\gamma_j}$. Then by Lemma 2.9, we have,

(i) open sets $W_1, ..., W_n$ with compact closures $\overline{W_j} \subset V_{\gamma_j}$ such that $W_1 \cup ... \cup W_n$ covers K, that is, $K \subset \cup_{j=1}^n W_j$; and by Lemma 2.8, we get,

(ii) functions $k_j \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $k_j = 1$ on $\overline{W_j}$ and $k_j = 0$ out side V_{γ_j}.

Now, by using (i) and (ii), we have, $\phi(x)k_j(x) = h_j(x)k_j(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and by Proposition 2.4, we get, $h_jk_j \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and so $\phi k_j \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore, if we put,

$$\psi = \phi\{1 - (1 - k_1)(1 - k_2)...(1 - k_n)\}; \tag{4.1}$$

it follows that $\psi \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The multiplier of ψ in (4.1) is 1 whenever one of k_i is 1, and this happens at every point of K; out side K, $\phi = 0$; hence $\psi = \phi$, and thus $\phi \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In the general case, ϕ belongs to $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ locally at ∞, so that there is a function $g \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which coincides with ϕ outside some compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then $\phi - g$ has compact support and belongs to $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ locally at every point of $\mathbb{R}^d$; by the first case, $\phi - g \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and so $\phi \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. □

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose* $f \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, *and* $\delta > 0$. *Then there exists* $h \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $\|h\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \delta$ *and*

$$\widehat{h}(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) - \widehat{f}(\gamma_0) \tag{4.2}$$

for all γ *in some neighbourhood of* γ_0.

Note. This lemma states that f is approximated, in the $W^{1,1}-$norm, by a function $f + h \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ whose Fourier transform is constant in a neighbourhood of the point γ_0.

Proof. Choose $k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\widehat{k} = 1$ in some neighbourhood of the origin. For $\lambda > 0$, put,

$$k_\lambda(x) = e^{2\pi i \gamma_0 \cdot x} \lambda^{-d} k(x/\lambda), (x \in \mathbb{R}^d) \tag{4.3}$$

and define

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = (f * k_\lambda)(x) - \widehat{f}(\gamma_0) k_\lambda(x). \tag{4.4}$$

Again, we choose $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\widehat{\psi} = 1$ in some neighbourhood of the γ_0; and define

$$h_\lambda(x) = (\psi * \phi_\lambda)(x), (x \in \mathbb{R}^d). \tag{4.5}$$

Notice that, $h_\lambda \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and since $\widehat{k_\lambda}(\gamma) = 1$ in some neighbourhood V_λ of γ_0, and by virtue of ψ we may assume that $\widehat{\psi}(\gamma) = 1$ in V_λ; therefore it follows that,

$$\widehat{h_\lambda}(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) - \widehat{f}(\gamma_0)$$

holds for all γ in some neighbourhood V_λ of γ_0; therefore equality in (4.2) holds for $\gamma \in V_\lambda$ with h_λ in place of h.

Next, we claim that, $\|h_\lambda\|_{W^{1,1}} \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$; and this completes the proof of the lemma.

By Lemma 2.3 (9), we have, $\|\phi_\lambda * \psi\|_{W^{1,1}} \leq \|\psi\|_{W^{1,1}} \cdot \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^1}$, and $\|\psi\|_{W^{1,1}} < \infty$; it suffices to prove the claim , by showing that $\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^1} \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$.

Observe that,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)[k_\lambda(x-y) - e^{-2\pi i \gamma_0 \cdot y} k_\lambda(x)]dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) e^{2\pi i \gamma_0 \cdot (x-y)} [\lambda^{-d} k(\lambda^{-1}(x-y) - k(\lambda^{-1}x)]dy; \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^1} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(y)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |k(z - \lambda^{-1} y) - k(z)| dz \right) dy; \tag{4.6}$$

by the change of variable $x = \lambda z$. The inner integral in (4.6) is at most $2\|k\|_{L^1}$, and it tends to zero for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, as $\lambda \to \infty$. Hence, $\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^1} \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$, by the dominated convergence. ☐

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $X = \{f \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \widehat{f} \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d), 1 < p < \infty\}$. *If* $f \in X, \gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, *and* $\delta > 0$, *then there exists* $h \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $\|h\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \delta$, *and*

$$\widehat{h}(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) - \widehat{f}(\gamma_0) \tag{4.7}$$

for all γ *in some neighbourhood* V_{γ_0} *of* γ_0.

Proof. Fix $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and choose some neighbourhood of γ_0 sufficiently small, say V_{γ_0}, and a compact set K containing it, that is, $V_{\gamma_0} \subset K$, and K is compact in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Next, we observe that, we can choose, $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that $g(\gamma) = f(\gamma)$ for every $\gamma \in V_{\gamma_0}$ and $g(\gamma) = 0$ outside compact set K, and support of g is contained in K, that is, $\operatorname{supp} g \subset K$.

We choose, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ so that $\widehat{\phi} = 1$ in some neighbourhood γ_0 and define

$$h_1(x) = (\phi * g)(x) - \phi(x)\widehat{g}(\gamma_0); (x \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

We note that, $h_1 \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; so we can apply Lemma 4.4, for h_1 and (4.7) follows. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.1(2). By Lemma 4.3, it is enough to show that $F(f)$ belongs to $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ locally at every point of $\mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\infty\}$.

Fix $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\infty\}$, put $f(\gamma_0) = s_0 + it_0$, and choose $\delta > 0$ such that the series

$$F(s,t) = F(s_0,t_0) + \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn}(s-s_0)^m(t-t_0)^n, (a_{00} = 0) \tag{4.8}$$

converges absolutely for $|s - s_0| \leq \delta, |t - t_0| \leq \delta$.

Since $f \in X$, we have, $f^\vee \in X$, and if $\gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, then Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.5, applies to $f^\vee$; so there exists a function $h \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\|h\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \delta$ and such that

$$\widehat{h}(\gamma) = f(\gamma) - f(\gamma_0) \tag{4.9}$$

in some neighbourhood V_{γ_0} of γ_0. Put $\widehat{h} = \widehat{h_1} + i\widehat{h_2}$ ($\widehat{h_1}, \widehat{h_2}$ real), since $\|h\|_{W^{1,1}} = \|\widehat{h}\|_{W^{1,1}}$, we have, $\|\widehat{h_1}\|_{W^{1,1}} < \delta$ and $\|\widehat{h_2}\|_{W^{1,1}} < \delta$. In view of (4.8), one can conclude that, the series,

$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn}\widehat{h_1}^m\widehat{h_2}^n$$

converges, in the norm of $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, to a function $g \in W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If we put, $f(\gamma) = f_1(\gamma) + if_2(\gamma)$, ($f_1, f_2$ real), then by (4.9), we have,

$$(\widehat{h_1}(\gamma), \widehat{h_2}(\gamma)) = (f_1(\gamma) - s_0, f_2(\gamma) - t_0); \forall \gamma \in V_{\gamma_0}.$$

But then, for $\gamma \in V_{\gamma_0}$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} F(f(\gamma)) &= F(s_0, t_0) + \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} \widehat{h_1}(\gamma)^m \widehat{h_2}(\gamma)^n \\ &= F(s_0, t_0) + g(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we can choose $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ so that $\psi(\gamma) = 1$ for all $\gamma \in V_{\gamma_0}$; and therefore, it follows that, $F(s_0, t_0)\psi + g \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and it coincide with $F(f)$ on some neighbourhood of γ_0, that is, $F(s_0, t_0)\psi(\gamma) + g(\gamma) = F(f(\gamma))$ for all $\gamma \in V_{\gamma_0}$; thus $F(f)$ belongs to $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ locally at γ_0.

For the case, $\gamma_0 = \infty$, we use Lemma 2.6 for $f^\vee$, and we get, $h = f^\vee - f^\vee * v$, (where v is as chosen in Lemma 2.6), so that, $\|h\|_{W^{p,1}} < \delta$, and such that,

$$f(\gamma) = \widehat{h}(\gamma),$$

for all γ in the complement of some compact subset K of $\mathbb{R}^d$. In this case, we notice that, $f(\gamma_0) = 0$, and similar argument as before, it is easy to conclude that, there exist some function g (in fact, the series $\sum_{m,n=0} a_{mn} \widehat{h_1}^m \widehat{h_2}^n$ converges in the $W^{p,1}$ norm, to some function in $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, say it is g) in $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which coincide with $F(f)$ in compliment of a compact set; hence $F(f)$ belongs to $W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ locally at ∞. ☐

Next we prove the Theorem 1.2(2) which partially answer the open question raised by Bhimani-Ratnakumar in [4, p.26].

Lemma 4.6. *Let* $X = \{f \in M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \widehat{f} \in M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d), 1 < p < \infty\}$. *If* $f \in X, \gamma_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, *and* $\delta > 0$, *then there exists* $h \in M^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $\|h\|_{M^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \delta$, *and*

$$\widehat{h}(\gamma) = \widehat{f}(\gamma) - \widehat{f}(\gamma_0) \tag{4.10}$$

for all γ *in some neighbourhood* V_{γ_0} *of* γ_0.

Proof. Minkowski's integral inequality, we observe, $\|f\|_{M^{1,1}} \asymp \|f\|_{W^{1,1}}$ and $M^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset W^{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; the proof follows from the previous Lemma 4.5. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.2(2). Taking the Lemmas 2.6 and 4.6 into our account and exploiting the method of the above Theorem 1.1(2); the proof follows. ☐

5. Unanswered Questions

(1) H. G. Feichtinger [9, 10, 12] have established the basic properties Wiener amalgam spaces and modulation spaces on locally compact groups. It would be interesting to investigate the analogue of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 for locally compact groups.

(2) We have answered the problem stated in introductory paragraph in few specific cases (Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 above). What about the remaining cases? (for instance, take $X = Y = W^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)(1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 < q \leq \infty$, either $p \neq 2$ or $q \neq 2$)).

Acknowledgement: The author wishes to thank Prof. P. K. Ratnakumar for suggesting to look at this problem and encouragement on the subject of this paper. He also wishes to thank the Harish-Chandra Research institute, the Dept. of Atomic Energy, Govt. of India, for providing excellent research facility.

References

[1] Á. Bényi, Kasso A. Okoudjou, Local well-posedness of nonlinear dispersive equations on modulation spaces, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 41 (3) (2009) 549-558.

[2] Á. Bényi, K. Gröchenig, K. A. Okoudjou, and L. G. Rogers, Unimodular Fourier multipliers for modulation spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 246 (2) (2007) 366-384.

[3] Á. Bényi, T. Oh, Modulation spaces, Wiener amalgam spaces, and Brownian motions, Adv. Math. 228 (5) (2011) 2943-2981.

[4] D.G. Bhimani, P. K. Ratnakumar, Functions operating on modulation spaces and nonlinear dispersive equations, arXiv:1412.0362.

[5] E.Cordero,F.Nicola, Remarks on Fourier multipliers and applications to wave equation, J.Math.Anal.Appl.353 (2009) 583-591.

[6] E.Cordero, F.Nicola, Strichartz estimates in Wiener amalgam spaces for the Schrödinger equation, Math.Nachr.281(1) (2008) 25-41.

[7] J. Cunanan, M. Sugimoto, Unimodular Fourier multipliers on Wiener amalgam spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 419 (2) (2014) (738-747).

[8] H.G. Feichtinger, K. Gröchenig, Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I, J. Funct. Anal. 86 (1989) 307-340.

[9] H.G.Feichtinger, Banach convolution algebras of Wiener's type, in:Proc.Conf. "Function, Series, Operators", Budapest, August 1980,in: Colloq.Math.Soc.János Bolyai, vol.35, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1983) 509-524.

[10] H.G.Feichtinger, Banach spaces of distributions of Wiener's type and interpolation, in: Proc.Conf.Functional Analysis and Approximation, Oberwolfach, August 1980, in:Internat.Ser.Numer.Math.,vol.69, (1981) 153-165.

[11] H.G.Feichtinger, Generalized amalgams, with applications to Fourier transform, Canad.J.Math.42(3)(1990) 395-409.

[12] H.G.Feichtinger, Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups, Technical report, University of Vienna, 1983.

[13] G. B. Folland, Real Analysis, Modern techniques and their applications, Wiley-Interscience Publ., New York, (1999).

[14] K. Gröchenig, Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.

[15] H. Helson, J-P. Kahane, Y. Katznelson, W. Rudin, The functions which operate on Fourier transforms, Acta Math. 102 (1959) 135-157.

[16] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, Acta Math. 104 (1960) 93-140.

[17] P. Lévy, Sur la convergence absolue des séries de Fourier, Composite Math., 1 (1934) 1-14.

[18] W. Rudin, Fourier Analysis on Groups, Wiley Classics Library Edition, 1990.

[19] W. Rudin, A strong converse of the Wiener-Levy theorem, Canad. J. Math. 14 (1962) 694-701.

[20] M. Ruzhansky, M. Sugimoto, J. Toft, N. Tomita, Changes of variables in modulation and Wiener amalgam spaces, Math. Nachr. 284 (16) (2011) 2078-2092.

[21] M. Sugimoto, N. Tomita, B. Wang, Remarks on nonlinear operations on modulation spaces, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 22(4-5) (2011) 351-358.

[22] B.Wang, H.Hudzik, The global Cauchy problem for the NLS and NLKG with small rough data, J. Differential Equations 232 (2007) 36-73.

[23] B. Wang, Z. Lifeng, and G. Boling, Isometric decomposition operators, function spaces $E^{\lambda}_{p,q}$ and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, J. Funct. Anal. 233 (1) (2006) 1-39.

[24] B. Wang, H. Zhaohui, H. Chengchun, G. Zihua, Harmonic analysis method for nonlinear evolution equations. I. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Lt. , 2011.

[25] N. Wiener, The Fourier Integral and certain of its Applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1933.

[26] N. Wiener, Tauberian Theorems, Ann. of Math., 33 (1932) 1-100.

HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, ALLAHABAD-211019, INDIA
E-mail address: `divyang@hri.res.in`